Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02996 01 OF 02 241943Z ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00 ACDE-00 DOEE-00 /026 W

-----024102 241953Z /42

O 241921Z FEB 78
FM USMISSION GENEVA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6454
INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 02 GENEVA 02996

EXDIS USCTB

PASS TO DOE

E.O.11652:XGDS-3
TAGS: PARM US UK UR
SUBJECT: CTB NEGOTIATIONS: INTERNATIONAL SEISMIC

CTB MESSAGE NO.149

- 1. TRILATERAL SUBGROUP ON INTERNATIONAL SEISMIC DATA EXCHANGE MET TWICE DURING WEEK OF FEB 20 TO DISCUSS AND CLARIFY APPROACHES TAKEN IN US AND SOVIET ILLUSTRATIVE TEXTS OF MULTILATERAL TREATY ANNEX ON AN INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE OF SEISMIC DATA. FOLLOWING ARE THE HIGHLIGHTS OF THOSE TWO MEETINGS.
- 2. ALL THREE DELS ARE IN AGREEMENT ON THE GENERAL FRAME-WORK FOR APPROACHING THE DATA EXCHANGE. ALL BELIEVE THAT THE GUIDELINES TO BE INCLUDED IN ANNEX SHOULD BE OF A BROAD AND NON-TECHNICAL CHARACTER, AND THAT AFTER ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE TREATY, A MULTILATERAL IMPLEMENTATION BODY SHOULD WORK OUT THE DETAILED OPERATIONAL ARRANGE-SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02996 01 OF 02 241943Z

MENTS BASED ON THOSE GUIDELINES. ALTHOUGH WE FEEL THE IMPLEMENTATION BODY SHOULD BE A SPECIAL COMMITTEE OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONSULTATIVE COMMISSION (WHICH WOULD BE ESTABLISHED UNDER THE MULTILATERAL TREATY), AND THE SOVIETS FAVOR A SPECIAL COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS ESTABLISHED ONLY FOR THE DATA EXCHANGE, THERE IS AGREEMENT THAT THIS ISSUE CAN BE PUT OFF UNTIL LATER, AND ALL SIDES IN THE

SUBGROUP HAVE REFERRED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION BODY AS SIMPLY "THE APPROPRIATE BODY".

3. BOTH THE SOVIETS AND BRITISH QUESTIONED THE MEANING OF THE SENTENCE IN PARA 1(B) OF OUR WORKING PAPER IN WHICH

WE STATE THAT "ANY ADDITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS REGARDING CIRCULATION OF THE DATA WOULD BE CONSIDERED BY THE ICC." WHEN US DEL EXPLAINED THAT ICC MIGHT WISH TO PROVIDE SEISMIC DATA TO NON-PARTIES, SOVIET AND BRITISH DELS EXPRESSED STRONG DOUBTS ABOUT THE DESIRABILITY OF SUCH WIDER CIRCULATION. SOVIET DELOFF STATED VIGOROUSLY THAT WE SHOULD NOT LET NON-PARTIES GET INVOLVED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF OUR TREATY. US DELOFFS POINTED OUT THAT WE HAD NO INTENTION OF LETTING NON-PARTIES DETERMINE HOW CTB WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED. INSTEAD, WE SIMPLY WISHED TO GIVE IMPLEMENTATION BODY THE AUTHORITY, IF IT APPEARED DESIRABLE IN CERTAIN CASES, TO MAKE DATA AVAILABLE TO STATES OR PERHAPS INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS THAT WERE NOT TREATY PARTIES.

4. REFERRING TO PARA 1(C) OF US PAPER, WHICH STATES THAT SEISMIC FACILITIES OFFERED BY TREATY PARTIES WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE EXCHANGE UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE ICC, SOVIET DELOFF SAID THAT ALL TREATY PARTIES SHOULD HAVE THE SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 GENEVA 02996 01 OF 02 241943Z

RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN DATA EXCHANGE EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT TECHNICALLY SOPHISTICATED. US DELOFFS RESPONDED THAT WE DID NOT HAVE IN MIND DISCOURAGING ANY TREATY PARTY FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE EXCHANGE. WE THOUGHT, HOWEVER, THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION BODY. WHICH WOULD BE MULTILATERAL IN CHARACTER, SHOULD ESTABLISH CERTAIN MINIMAL STANDARDS THAT PARTICIPATING STATIONS WOULD BE REQUIRED TO MEET. THESE MINIMAL STANDARDS WOULD NOT BE BEYOND THE TECHNICAL CAPACITY OF EVEN SOME OF THE LEAST TECHNICALLY ADVANCED PARTIES (E.G. 24 HOUR PER DAY OPERATION OF THE STATIONS). AND WOULD THEREFORE NOT SERVE AS A DISCRIMINATORY BARRIER TO PARTICIPATION. THEIR FUNCTION WOULD BE TO INSURE SOME MINIMAL LEVEL OF UNIFORMITY IN THE DATA EXCHANGED, AND THUS TO PROMOTE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SYSTEM. SOVIET DEL READILY AGREED WITH THE DESIRABILITY OF HAVING MINIMAL STANDARDS. BUT TOOK THE VIEW THAT THESE STANDARDS SHOULD BE EXPRESSED AS RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE PARTICIPATING STATES, RATHER THAN AS MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS. (COMMENT: FROM SEVERAL INFORMAL CONVERSATIONS, IT APPEARS THAT THE SOVIET PREFERENCE FOR EXPRESSING STANDARDS AS RECOMMEN-ATIONS IS BASED ON THEIR DOCTRINAL CONCERN THAT THE INTERNATIONAL DATA CENTER THAT THE SOVIETS WANT STATIONED

IN MOSCOW, AS WELL AS SEISMIC STATIONS ON SOVIET TERRITORY, COULD BE SUBJECTED TO MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS SET BY SOME INTERNATIONAL AUTHORITY, RATHER THAN LEFT COMPLETELY TO THE JURISDICTION OF THE USSR. END COMMENT).

5. SOV DEL HAS MAINTAINED THAT INTERNATIONAL DATA CENTERS SHOULD BE SITUATED IN MOSCOW, WASHINGTON AND MELBOURNE, ARGUING THAT THOSE THREE LOCATIONS ARE TECHNICALLY

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 GENEVA 02996 02 OF 02 241944Z ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00 ACDE-00 DOEE-00 /026 W

-----024136 241947Z /45

O 241921Z FEB 78 FM USMISSION GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 6455 INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY AMEMBASSY MOSCOW PRIORITY

S E C R E T SECTION 02 OF 02 GENEVA 02996

EXDIS USCTB

PASS TO DOE

JUSTIFIED BECAUSE THEY ARE THE CENTERS FOR THE WORLD METEOROLOGICAL ORGANIZATION'S COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, WHICH WILL SERVE AS THE COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK FOR THE SEISMIC DATA EXCHANGE. BRITISH AND US DELS HAVE FAVORED LEAVING OPEN THE QUESTION OF WHERE DATA CENTERS, OTHER THAN THOSE IN WASHINGTON AND MOSCOW, WOULD BE LOCATED. THEY HAVE INDICATED THAT THERE IS NO COMPELLING TECHNICAL REASON FOR CHOOSING MELBOURNE RATHER THAN A NUMBER OF OTHER LOCATIONS, AND THAT THERE ARE GOOD POLITICAL REASONS FOR ENABLING THE CCD OR THE IMPLEMENTATION BODY TO DETERMINE THE LOCATION OF THE THIRD OR ADDITIONAL CENTERS. THE SOVIETS HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THE POLITICAL UTILITY OF LEAVING THIS QUESTION OPEN, AND HAVE STATED THAT THEY ARE FLEXIBLE.

6. ALL THREE DELEGATIONS RECOGNIZE THAT REMAINING DIFFERENCES ON THE DATA EXCHANGE ANNEX TEND TO BE

POLITICAL OR LEGAL IN CHARACTER, AND THEREFORE INTEND TO PURSUE THE DISCUSSIONS IN THE POLITICAL GROUP. THERE IS ALSO A COMMON UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE DIFFERENCES SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 GENEVA 02996 02 OF 02 241944Z

ARE RELATIVELY MINOR, AND THAT IT WILL NOT BE VERY DIFFICULT TO REACH AGREEMENT ON A COMMON TEXT. WARNKE

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: SEISMOLOGY, LIMITED TEST BAN TREATY, NEGOTIATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 24 feb 1978 Decaption Date: 20 Mar 2014
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014 Disposition Event: Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978GENEVA02996
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: X3 Errors: N/A

Film Number: D780086-0733 Format: TEL From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Expiration:

Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780218/aaaaaopv.tel

Line Count: 181 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: 8cd438d0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags:

Review Date: 10 jun 2005 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 3490844 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CTB NEGOTIATIONS: INTERNATIONAL SEISMIC CTB MESSAGE NO.149

TAGS: PARM, US, UK, UR

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/8cd438d0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014